RESTful Approaches To Financial Systems Integration

Kirk Wylie qCon London 2009 <u>kirk@kirkwylie.com, http://kirkwylie.blogspot.com</u>/

About You

Introduction

- Integration Problems in Financial Services
- **REST to the Rescue**
- Applying REST to Financial Services
- Questions



How Do We Get Into This Mess?

• Every desk wants their own system

- Political and technical limitations to über-systems
- Techies have to understand a particular business very well

Upgrading packaged software virtually impossible, so nobody does

- If one instance doesn't scale, add more! Even better if it's a newer version and the two don't have compatible data models
- Different requirements for different levels -Front, Middle, Back Office
 - Needs are completely different but they **must** communicate
- Systems never die

Integration Approaches

• Flat Files & Email

• Fine for batch jobs, but what if you need to vary the frequency?

• Database-based Approaches

 Select out of someone else's database, possibly using ETL technology. But what happens when you need to change the schema?

MOM

 Pump messages to queues and topic-based systems. But what happens when publishers and consumers can't agree on a rate?

• SOA To The Rescue!

• Whose SOA? Which bus? What happens when each commercial vendor thinks their bus is the right one?

What's The Problem?

• Systems upgrade on different schedules

- Moving one silo in lockstep hard enough. Convincing two teams to move together?
- What about the system you're not allowed to touch anymore?

• Every system a different set of tools

• No commonality of infrastructure or training for developers

• How do you get data into Excel?

 Any system which doesn't consider the trader's pathological dependency on Excel is doomed to failure

Most approaches are "leaky"

• One side's choice of technology is forced on the other. Not so great when that side is horrible to work with!





XML/JSON!

Web Tech!



XML/JSON!

Web Tech!

Cool Kids!

Defining REST

• Entities Have Uniform Names

• Every entity has its own name and uniform location

• Use A Limited Set Of Verbs

• HTTP Put, Get, Delete, Post all you need for CRUD operations

• Use Content Negotiation

• Client says what it can support, server gives it the best match

• HATEOAS

• Hypertext As The Engine Of Application State

HATEOAS

Client applications navigate through links

- Clients never assume anything about the internal structure of the application beyond the defined content encoding
- In particular, "deep-linking" should be avoided wherever possible!

Don't store client context or state on the server, keep it with the client

• Resource providers don't know anything about how a client is navigating through the application, so can scale better

Allows providers of resources to manage them

- Can change hosts, protocols, encodings based on client and configuration details without clients having to be updated
- If your application has a single URL that defines entry to the system, yer doin' it right.

Defining an Entity

- An Entity is anything that can be individually named
 - Most database tables are logically entities, but usually a RESTful entity includes much more data than just a single row

• Entities get URLs

- Access the current state of that entity using that URL
- Change the state of that entity using same URL

Entities have relations between them

- Most clearly represented as hypertext
- Nothing stops you from delivering related entities with each other (for example, a company and its 15 top traded bonds)
- Can deliver groups of entities at once, either as hypertext lists or as batches of actual content

XML/HTTP

• XML excellent for RESTful integration

• Use of tooling or hand parsing using XPath or DOM walking

• HTTP excellent protocol

- Client-initiation helps satisfy HATEOAS principles and avoid pumping data into the ether
- Can use huge set of HTTP based assisting technologies

Everything speaks it

 Any language which can't process XML over HTTP will be extended or replaced by one which does

Solves the Excel problem

Just XML/HTTP?

- No, you can do RESTful services with a variety of encodings
 - HTML, JSON, CSV, FIX, XLS are all good candidates in a financial services context.

No, you can do RESTful services over a variety of protocols

• HTTP is the most prominent, but FTP, SMTP, JMS, HTTP, Directory Scanning can all be used

• I'm focusing primarily on XML/HTTP

- This solves the Excel problem particularly well
- Financial Services firms have a lot of XML already flying around
- Gopher probably the first RESTful service

Actual Implementation: FOSSA

- Standardized way to integrate applications at a medium-sized (\$600MM/ year) derivatives trading group
- Used for Inter- and Intraapplication integration
- 5 trading systems (one inhouse), 2 back-office systems, traders addicted to Excel
- No code sharing except for analytics library



FOSSA Architecture

• All entities exposed as XML over URLs

- Standardized URL naming structure, but still used gatekeeper URLs
- Asynchronous updates provided as XML over JMS infrastructure
 - Entities had meta links that indicated the precise subscription parameters necessary to receive updates

Cross-site support with intelligent proxies

 Read-through, asynchronous update listening, hot startup all supported for single applications spanning 4 sites in 3 continents

Heterogenous environment

- Producers/consumers in C#, Java, C++, Python, Tcl, Excel VBA
- Linux, SPARC Solaris, Solaris x86, Windows

Handling Upgrades

• Provider of data upgrades

- Check the Accept header for MIME types the consumer can support, and serve the best one. Transform on the fly if necessary.
- Use your single input URL to change which deep URLs clients access

• Consumer of data upgrades

- Provide multiple MIME types in the Accept header in order of preference. Make sure you still support everything that's in the wild!
- Avoid deep linking!

• Don't use brittle parsing!

- Postel's law reigns supreme
- Most XSD-based tooling supports vast changes in XML content with the right XSD.

Getting Data Into Excel

• Existing options aren't pretty

- Database access requires views onto a database; users often put massive load on the database inadvertently.
- VBA makes it super easy to populate a sheet from XML over HTTP
 - <u>http://msdn.microsoft.com/en-us/library/aa203724.aspx</u>

```
Dim xmp as XmlMap
Dim xp as XPath
```

```
set xmp = Application.Workbooks(1).XmlMaps.Add(URL)
```

```
set xp = ActiveSheet.Range("B1").XPath
xp.SetValue xmp, "/Root/RepeatingElement/Element1", , True
set xp = ActiveSheet.Range("C1").XPath
xp.SetValue xmp, "/Root/RepeatingElement/Element2", , True
```

Configuration Changes

• Leverage HATEOAS

- Clients have a single entry point that defines how it interacts with the rest of the system
- Change that point and well-behaved clients will automatically follow the configuration change
- Puts configuration changes in the hands of the data producers!
- Can even selectively deliver navigation content based on client
- Use Load Balancers to shield clients from nodes going up or down
 - Particularly useful for "well-known" internal URLs
 - Leverage Internet-scale support for HTTP

Eliminate Unnecessary Polling

• Do you really need to?

 If you have your caches set up properly, and are re-using keepalive HTTP connections, a single HEAD and GET are pretty fast.

Use the Message Oriented Middleware you already have

- When returning an entity, provide a reference to the middleware location that entity updates will be published on
- Include the URL for the entity in the message headers for filters

Combine the two

• Have your edge caches listen to the asynchronous updates and invalidate the cache elements when new data is published

Handling Closed Systems

• Some systems you can't change no matter what

- Legacy; packaged software; badly written; controlled by surly, angry people who don't read blogs or go to architecture conferences
- 2-tier systems everywhere in Financial Services, particularly vendorprovided applications. How do you integrate with them?

• Follow the SOA approach: Wrap it!

- Build edge gateways in the technology stack the closed system requires
- Turns out you can reuse most of these, as closed systems have a few integration approaches
- Where you can't reuse it, it's a system you need to defend yourself against!
- URLs are under your control, not the wrappee's

FOSSA Success Factors

• Connecting all Front Office applications

 In-house developed Front Office and Back Office, and 3 different vendor-provided systems

Judged superior to existing approaches

- Load on databases and use of fiddly replication substantially reduced
- Combination of tooling and hand editing made developers happy
- Complicated data injection into Excel made traders happy
- Caching improved access times even intra-system with little work

I cross-system upgrades required

- Systems had to be upgraded (sometimes) to support FOSSA
- Configuration changes and encoding tricks satisfied all point upgrades

Conclusion

- Financial Services face different problems to other industries
 - Integration latencies required, number of silos, amount of data to be integrated
 - We've got messaging (and how!)

• Existing integration patterns don't work

- Too much labor, too link specific, too prone to failure on upgrades
- **RESTful integration FTW**
 - Constraints help silos work together
 - XML, HTTP, MOM all play nicely with Excel



Questions / Bonus LOLFossa

Note, DHH: NOT a LOLCat: <u>http://www.37signals.com/svn/posts/1614-no-more-lolcats-in-tech-presentation-plz</u>