Understanding Core Clojure Functions



Dr. Jonathan Graham



http://jonathangraham.github.io









reduce

clojure.core

Available since 1.0 (source)

(reduce f coll) (reduce f val coll)

f should be a function of 2 arguments. If val is not supplied, returns the result of applying f to the first 2 items in coll, then applying f to that result and the 3rd item, etc. If coll contains no items, f must accept no arguments as well, and reduce returns the result of calling f with no arguments. If coll has only 1 item, it is returned and f is not called. If val is supplied, returns the result of applying f to val and the first item in coll, then applying f to that result and the 2nd item, etc. If coll contains no items, returns val and f is not called.

© Rich Hickey. All rights reserved. Eclipse Public License 1.0

If val is supplied, returns the result of applying f to val and the first item in coll, then applying f to that result and the 2nd item, etc.

If coll contains no items, returns val and f is not called.

Let's start with a test...

```
(ns clojure-functions.reduce)
(defn my-reduce [f val coll]
  val)
```

A second test could have a single element in the collection.

```
(it "result 2 for + function, with val of 1 and coll containing element 1"
  (should= 2 (my-reduce + 1 '(1))))
```

```
(defn my-reduce [f val coll]
  (if (empty? coll)
     val
     (f val (first coll))))
```

With more than one item in the collection...

```
(it "result 6 for + function, val of 1 and coll with elements 2 and 3"
  (should= 6 (my-reduce + 1 [2 3])))
```

We can add tests with different functions, collections and initial values.

If val is not supplied, returns the result of applying f to the first 2 items in coll, then applying f to that result and the 3rd item, etc.

No val...

```
(it "result 1 for + function, no val, and coll containing element 1"
  (should= 1 (my-reduce + [1])))
```

We can add tests with multiple items in coll.

If coll contains no items, f must accept no arguments as well, and reduce returns the result of calling f with no arguments.

No val and empty coll...

```
(it "result 0 for addition on empty list"
    (should= 0 (my-reduce + [])))

(it "result 1 for multiplication on empty list"
        (should= 1 (my-reduce * [])))
```

How many unit tests are enough to give us confidence that our function behaves in the same was as reduce?

Property-based tests

Make statements about the expected behaviour of the code that should hold true for the entire domain of possible inputs. These statements are then verified for many different (pseudo)randomly generated inputs.

Clojure test.check

```
(def colls
    (gen/one-of [
      (gen/vector gen/any)
      (gen/list gen/any)
      (gen/set gen/any)
      (gen/map gen/any gen/any)
      gen/bytes
      gen/string]))
(defn red-fn
    ([] true)
    ([a b] b))
(defspec my-reduce-property-test 1000
    (prop/for-all [c colls v gen/any]
        (and
            (= (reduce red-fn c) (my-reduce red-fn c))
            (= (reduce red-fn v c) (my-reduce red-fn v c)))))
```



Functions requiring two arguments can be passed to reduce with only a single argument

(defn f [x y] (+ x y))

(reduce f [1])

Care needed with functions that cannot be evaluated with no arguments

(reduce - '())

count

clojure.core

Available since 1.0 (source)

(count coll)

Returns the number of items in the collection. (count nil) returns 0. Also works on strings, arrays, and Java Collections and Maps

© Rich Hickey. All rights reserved. Eclipse Public License 1.0

Taking a TDD approach again...

```
(it "result 0 for an empty list"
    (should= 0 (my-count '())))
(it "result 0 for nil"
    (should= 0 (my-count nil)))
(it "result 1 for a list of one item"
    (should= 1 (my-count '(1))))
```

Make tests with more than one element pass

With a passing test suite, refactor

```
(defn my-count [coll]
    (my-reduce (fn [result _] (inc result)) 0 coll))
```

Again, property-based tests can confirm that our function behaves the same as the core function

```
(defspec my-count-property-test 1000
  (prop/for-all [c colls]
      (= (count c) (my-count c))))
```

filter

clojure.core

Available since 1.0 (source)

(filter pred) (filter pred coll)

Returns a lazy sequence of the items in coll for which (pred item) returns true. pred must be free of side-effects. Returns a transducer when no collection is provided.

© Rich Hickey. All rights reserved. Eclipse Public License 1.0

Filter returns a lazy sequence

```
(it "result empty lazy sequence when filtering for zero on an empty vector"
    (should= clojure.lang.LazySeq (class (my-filter zero? [])))
    (should= 0 (my-count (my-filter zero? []))))
```

Build function up recursively?

```
(it "result even numbers when filtering for even numbers"
  (should= '(0 2 4 6 8) (my-filter even? (range 10))))
```

We can add more tests, and these pass

But, our function is not lazy!

We just convert the result to a lazy sequence

cons is lazy; conj is not

conj depends on the collection type, so is realised immediately

cons adds an item to the start of a collection, and can be evaluated lazily

Refactor lazily



How do we get a vector containing just the even numbers given an input of [0 1 2 3 4 5]?

```
(into [] (my-filter even? [0 1 2 3 4 5]))
```

map

clojure.core

Available since 1.0 (source)

```
(map f) (map f coll) (map f c1 c2) (map f c1 c2 c3) (map f c1 c2 c3 & colls)
```

Returns a lazy sequence consisting of the result of applying f to the set of first items of each coll, followed by applying f to the set of second items in each coll, until any one of the colls is exhausted. Any remaining items in other colls are ignored. Function f should accept number-of-colls arguments. Returns a transducer when no collection is provided.

© Rich Hickey. All rights reserved. Eclipse Public License 1.0

For a single collection, write our map function analogously to our filter function

We can extend this approach for two collections

What about more than two collections? Build up recursively?

Does this work for non-commutative functions?

How can build lazily?

- 1) Take all of the input collections, and put them into a single sequence.
- 2) Reorder this sequence, so the first collection is all of the first elements, the second collection is all of the second elements, etc.
- Map the result of applying the function to each reordered collection in turn

Our map function

Our map function

pmap

clojure.core

Available since 1.0 (source)

(pmap f coll) (pmap f coll & colls)

Like map, except f is applied in parallel. Semi-lazy in that the parallel computation stays ahead of the consumption, but doesn't realize the entire result unless required. Only useful for computationally intensive functions where the time of f dominates the coordination overhead.

© Rich Hickey. All rights reserved. Eclipse Public License 1.0

map is lazy, and the time we are measuring is the time to make a new lazy-seq, not the time to actually execute it

```
(it "test time long-running job with map"
    (should (< 4.0 (test-time map long-running-job [1 2 3 4]))))</pre>
```

```
(it "test time long-running job with map"
    (should (< 4.0 (test-time map long-running-job [1 2 3 4]))))</pre>
```

```
(it "test time long-running job with my-pmap"
  (should (> 1.1 (test-time my-pmap long-running-job [1 2 3 4]))))
```

Fails

```
(it "test time long-running job with my-pmap"
  (should (> 1.1 (test-time my-pmap long-running-job [1 2 3 4]))))
```

We need to generate all of the futures before we start to deref

Remember that *conj* is not lazy...

Extend to multiple collections as we did with my-map

The behavior of all the functions can be confirmed to be the same as the core functions using property-based tests



Understanding Core Clojure Functions



Dr. Jonathan Graham



http://jonathangraham.github.io