Policing The Capital Markets with ML



Cliff Click

CTO Neurensic cclick@neurensic.com



Who Am I?



Cliff Click CTO Neurensic Co-Founder H2O.ai cliffc@acm.org

PhD Computer Science1995 Rice UniversityHotSpot JVM Server Compiler"showed the world JITing is possible"

45 yrs coding
40 yrs building compilers
35 yrs distributed computation
30 yrs OS, device drivers, HPC, HotSpot
15 yrs Low-latency GC, custom java hardware, NonBlockingHashMap
20 patents, dozens of papers
100s of public talks



Neurensic

2

Our Global Financial Markets Are In Trouble 100% of our economy

84% of stock trading

70% of futures trading

<1% of trade surveillance

\$900,000,000 dollars

is valued and priced by the capital markets, which include stocks, futures, options and other financial instruments

on all US markets is done by sophisticated, high-frequency trading computer algorithms

on CME foreign exchange, equities and interest rate markets is done by advanced automated trading systems

solutions (excluding Neurensic) use machine learning or pattern recognition to understand automated trading activity

is spent annually by financial institutions on trade surveillance alone, with tens of billions on compliance overall

Neurensic – Forensics in the Markets

- Neurensic specializes in Market Forensics
- Reads Financial Data Streams aka stock "ticker tape"
- Looks for Illegal Activity
- Tooling, not law enforcement

Spoofing

Fighting Finagling in Financial Markets

MARKET NEWS (MOR OCC 19, 2016) (1300m EDT U.S. CFTC charges markets "spoofing" in futures markets

- Tool is used by regulators, mutual funds, FCMs, traders

\$1,000,000,000,000

Addresses a \$Tn problem in a \$Bn compliance industry

The Situation

In July, the first person to be convicted of spoofing, high-speed commodities trader Michael Coscia, was sentenced to three years in prison by a U.S. judge in Chicago. It took a jury only an hour to convict him in November 2015. A British futures trader, Navinder Sarao, is facing extradition to the U.S. after he was arrested in suburban London in April 2015. U.S. authorities cald Saraos activities contributed to the flash crash of May 2010, when almost \$1 trillion was temporarily wiped out in the U.S. stock market. While reports of spoofing

Financial Data: The "ticker tape"

- Not just NYSE Ticker Tape
 - "Tickers" from CME and all exchanges
 - Audit logs, clearing houses, internal trading systems
- Financial Data is Big Data:
 - World-wide probably 1Trillion rows daily for Futures
 - Big firm might see 1Billion rows daily
 - About 1Tbyte daily
 - Common to see 10m rows, 10Gig daily
- Need to run sophisticated ML algorithms
- Algos change rapidly to follow the crooks "arms race"
- Lots of unusual 1-off feature generation



Results as Risk

- Dodd-Frank "Intent to Deceive" is illegal
- Neurensic builds tools; does not declare "intent"
 - (that requires a judge)
- Results couched as "Risk":
 - Risk == odds of behavior considered illegal
 - Basically: activities in the market similar to what has been investigated or prosecuted already
- Machine Learning: find close matches to patterns in data
- Investigation by a Compliance Officer next



800

Requirement to be Transparent

- Computers do not declare "guilty", legal system does
- All parties need to understand the data
- Finding an questionable activity is just the first step!
- Now need to explain **why** it's questionable
- Machine Learning notorious for being opaque (but correct)
- How do we justify ML results to a Federal Judge?
- Answer: we don't.
- We find interesting patterns *and show them*



Explaining Market Data

- We show what the trading firm knows
 - Internal Audit Logs
 - Trader activity over time, attempts to trade
 - "Position" accumulations of stocks/futures
 - Buy/Sell offers
- We show what the public market knows:
 - "Ticker" data; bid/ask spread; volume traded
 - Canceled offers, historical trends
- And we must filter, filter, filter, filter down to human scale
 - Billions must become 100's of rows



Visualization of Raw Data is Key

- Must use the actual ticker/audit data, not ML results
 - Because this is understood, and hard legal evidence
 - Data is messy, "symbology" changes over time, place
 - Data is too big to look at; needs to be filtered, reduced
- Must visualize the patterns:
 - Show trades in real time, slow time, tick-by-tick time
 - Matching trader positions, activities, bids/offers/cancels
 - "The Book" outstanding market bids/asks
 - Visual displays of all of the above, over time
 - "Movies" of abstract financial trades



Rapid Evolution of Displays

- We need to improve existing displays
 - Better visuals for existing suspicious patterns
 - Better filtering (always a tension between too little and too much)
 - Legal requirements change
- We need to add new displays
 - New visuals for new patterns
 - As old patterns get stopped, new ones emerge
- Displays moving from rich desktop to browser to mobile



Modernize Displays

- Moving from thick-client desktop to browser
 - Browsers are everywhere
 - No install needed of thick-client
 - Bring html safely through firewalls (VPN)
- Allow mobile clients in the future
 - Show results to CxO's or lawyers
 - Quick check of own trading behavior
- And split server from client
 - Data inside corp private datacenter; Server with data
 - Client is many places



SCORE Architecture





H2O and Machine Learning

- H2O.ai is a premier open source ML tool
- Datasizes involved are easily within H2O's size
 - 10G to 40G on a single server
 - Terabyte on a modest cluster
- ML algorithms are bleeding-edge start of the art
- Direct implementations for Python and R
- All Neurensic's Data Science is done with Python
 - Taking DS algos direct from research to production



SCORE Internal Design



ETL – Data Cleaning

- Read audit log
- Decide Vendor
 - TT, CQG,
 CME Audit, …
- Vendor specific ETL
 - Drop or impute missing values
 - Exchange, product, price normalization
 - Trader & account normalization
 - Uniform mapping for tokens
 - e.g. {B,Buy,BUY} \rightarrow Buy; {Limit,LMT,L,K,2} \rightarrow Limit
 - 100s of individual cleanup steps



Parallel Clustering – Python & Java

Data ETL'd & cleaned; sorted already

Svm	Time	Action	Price				Sym	Time	Action	Price
NDAO	1.23 456	Add	78 9	<u> </u>			NDAQ	1:23.456	Add	78.9
NDAO	1.23.457	Add	79 0				NDAQ	1:23.457	Add	79.0
NDAO	1.23.458	Add	787		< - B ¹ // >>		NDAQ	1:23.458	Add	78.7
NDAO	1.23.459	Add	78 9			\prec	NDAQ	1:23.459	Add	78.9
NDAO	1:23.459	Fill	78.7		cpuO		NDAQ	1:23.459	Fill	78.7
NDAO	1:23.461	Reject	78.9		•		NDAQ	1:23.461	Reject	78.9
NDAO	1:23.463	Cancel	78.9				NDAQ	1:23.463	Cancel	78.9
NDAO	1:23.463	Add	78.9	\leq			NDAQ	1:23.463	Add	78.9
NDAO	1:45.678	Fill	76.5				NDAQ	1:45.678	Fill	76.5
NDAO	1:45.678	Add	76.5		EN/		NDAQ	1:45.678	Add	76.5
NDÃO	1:45.679	Fill	78.9				NDAQ	1:45.679	Fill	78.9
NDÃO	1:45.680	Reject	78.9		cpù1		NDAQ	1:45.680	Reject	78.9
NDAÕ	1:45.680	Cancel	78.9		0001		NDAQ	1:45.680	Cancel	78.9
NDAQ	1:45.681	Add	78.9				NDAQ	1:45.681	Add	78.9
NDAQ	1:55.681	Fill	78.9	\leq			NDAQ	1:55.681	Fill	78.9
NDAQ	1:55.681	Add	78.9				NDAQ	1:55.681	Add	78.9
NDAQ	1:55.682	Add	78.9		< 3% >>		NDAQ	1:55.682	Add	78.9
NDAQ	1:55.683	Fill	78.9	\geq			NDAQ	1:55.683	<u>Fill</u>	78.9
AAPL	1:55.684	Reject	78.9		cpuz		AAPL	1:55.684	Reject	78.9
AAPL	1:55.684	Cancel	78.9		-		AAPL	1:55.684	Cancel	78.9
AAPL	1:55.684	Add	78.9			\prec	AAPL	1:55.684	Add	78.9
AAPL	1:55.684	Fill	78.9				AAPL	1:55.684	Fill	78.9
AAPL	1:55.684	Add	78.9				AAPL	1:55.684	Add	78.9
AAPL	1:55.684	Add	78.9				AAPL	1:55.684	Add	78.9
AAPL	2:01.684	Add	78.9	\geq		\neg	AAPL	2:01.684	Add	78.9
AAPL	2:01.684	Add	78.9		cpu3		AAPL	2:01.684	Add	78.9

• Each cpu does roughly equal work

Parallel Clustering – Python & Java

Sym	Time	Action	Price	
NDAQ	1:23.456	Add	78.9	-
NDAQ	1:23.457	Add	79.0	
NDAQ	1:23.458	Add	78.7	
NDAQ	1:23.459	Add	78.9	
NDAQ	1:23.459	Fill	78.7	
NDAQ	1:23.461	Reject	78.9	
NDAQ	1:23.463	Cancel	78.9	
NDAQ	1:23.463	Add	78.9	-
NDAQ	1:45.678	Fill	76.5	
NDAQ	1:45.678	Add	76.5	
NDAQ	1:45.679	Fill	78.9	
NDAQ	1:45.680	Reject	78.9	
NDAQ	1:45.680	Cancel	78.9	
NDAQ	1:45.681	Add	78.9	
NDAQ	1:55.681	Fill	78.9	
NDAQ	1:55.681	Add	78.9	
NDAQ	1:55.682	Add	78.9	
NDAQ	1:55.683	Fill	78.9	
AAPL	1:55.684	Reject	78.9	
AAPL	1:55.684	Cancel	78.9	
AAPL	1:55.684	Add	78.9	
AAPL	1:55.684	Fill	78.9	
AAPL	1:55.684	Add	78.9	
AAPL	1:55.684	Add	78.9	
AAPL	2:01.684	Add	78.9	
AAPL	2:01.684	Add	78.9	



cpu2

cpu3

- Clustering rules in Python
 - Good for DS team!
- Python per row:
 - {keep,drop,start new cluster}
- Execution in parallel Jython
 - Fast on Big Data

Parallel Clustering – Python & Java

cpu1

cpu2

- CPU reads ~100k rows, builds ~1k clusters of ~100 rows each
- Clusters are: same instrument, close in time, but model-specific
- Represent *intent*
- Clusters vary:
 - Wash Trade is 2 rows, cpu3
 Abusive Messaging might be 10000
 - Wash is 1msec; Spoof might be 5min

	Sym	Time	Action	Price
	NDAQ	1:23.456	Add	78.9
	NDAQ	1:23.457	Add	79.0
	NDAQ	1:23.458	Add	78.7
$\langle $	NDAQ	1:23.459	Add	78.9
	NDAQ	1:23.459	Fill	78.7
	NDAQ	1:23.461	Reject	78.9
	NDAQ	1:23.463	Cancel	78.9
	NDAQ	1:23.463	Add	78.9
	NDAQ	1:45.678	Fill	76.5
	NDAQ	1:45.678	Add	76.5
	NDAQ	1:45.679	Fill	78.9
$\overline{}$	NDAQ	1:45.680	Reject	78.9
	NDAQ	1:45.680	Cancel	78.9
	NDAQ	1:45.681	Add	78.9
	NDAQ	1:55.681	Fill	78.9
	NDAQ	1:55.681	Add	78.9
	NDAQ	1:55.682	Add	78.9
	NDAQ	1:55.683	Fill	78.9
	AAPL	1:55.684	Reject	78.9
	AAPL	1:55.684	Cancel	78.9
$ \ge $	AAPL	1:55.684	Add	78.9
	AAPL	1:55.684	Fill	78.9
	AAPL	1:55.684	Add	78.9
	AAPL	1:55.684	Add	78.9
	AAPL	2:01.684	Add	78.9
	AAPL	2:01.684	Add	78.9

Parallel Python ML Modeling

- Clusters run in parallel
 - Run sequentially per-cluster
- Each CPU grabs a cluster, runs Python (Java) model, builds ML vectors, and scores for risk
- Work varies by model and cluster size
 - Worklist load balances



Parallel Python ML Modeling

Sym	Time	Action	Price	~
NDAQ	1:23.456	Add	78.9	
NDAQ	1:23.457	Add	79.0	
NDAQ	1:23.458	Add	78.7	
NDAQ	1:23.459	Add	78.9	
NDAQ	1:23.459	Fill	78.7	
NDAQ	1:23.461	Reject	78.9	
NDAQ	1:23.463	Cancel	78.9	
NDAQ	1:23.463	Add	78.9	\mathcal{I}



- Tracking the market is inherently sequential
- Building a state machine

- E.g. spoofing feature might track a position
 - Watch Places & Fills on both sides over time,
 - Find large positions pressuring the market,
 - Then a cancel on one side,
 - Then *reaping* fills as market rebounds

Parallel Python

Sym	Time	Action	Price	- ·
NDAQ	1:23.456	Add	78.9	
NDAQ	1:23.457	Add	79.0	
NDAQ	1:23.458	Add	78.7	
NDAQ	1:23.459	Add	78.9	
NDAQ	1:23.459	Fill	78.7	
NDAQ	1:23.461	Reject	78.9	
NDAQ	1:23.463	Cancel	78.9	
NDAQ	1:23.463	Add	78.9	\mathcal{I}

- Simple sequential Python
- Called with cluster as a simple array of rows

- Limited to what can be parallelized:
 - No global variables (function local only)
 - No native library callouts unless thread safe
- Local self functions ok
- Most generic Python ok

DEMO!

• Anonymized but real data



Policing The Stock Market with ML

Q&A



Parallel Python ML Modeling

Sym	Time	Action	Price	
NDAQ	1:23.456	Add	78.9	
NDAQ	1:23.457	Add	79.0	100
NDAQ	1:23.458	Add	78.7	
NDAQ	1:23.459	Add	78.9	$\sim cn_{10}$
NDAQ	1:23.459	Fill	78.7	Cpuo
NDAQ	1:23.461	Reject	78.9	
NDAO	1:23.463	Cancel	78.9	
NDAQ	1:23.463	Add	78.9	
NDAQ	1:45.678	Fill	76.5	
NDAQ	1:45.678	Add	76.5	
NDAQ	1:45.679	Fill	78.9	
NDAQ	1:45.680	Reject	78.9	Cpur
NDAQ	1:45.680	Cancel	78.9	_
NDAQ	1:45.681	Add	78.9	
NDAQ	1:55.681	Fill	78.9	\leq · ·
NDAQ	1:55.681	Add	78.9	(ANA
NDAQ	1:55.682	Add	78.9	
NDAQ	1:55.683	Fill	78.9	- cnu ₂
AAPL	1:55.684	Reject	78.9	
AAPL	1:55.684	Cancel	78.9	
AAPL	1:55.684	Add	78.9	
AAPL	1:55.684	Fill	78.9	
AAPL	1:55.684	Add	78.9	RU
AAPL	1:55.684	Add	78.9	
AAPL	2:01.684	Add	78.9	Cnu3
AAPL	2:01.684	Add	78.9	_ cpus

- Most models in Python
 - Some in Java (H2O)
- Run sequentially per-cluster
- Clusters run in parallel
- Each CPU grabs a cluster, runs Python (Java) model, builds ML vectors, and scores for risk
- Work varies by model and cluster size not uniform
 - Worklist load balances