Resilient Predictive Data Pipelines

Sid Anand (@r39132) QCon London 2016

About Me

Work [ed | s] @

Co-Chair for

Maintainer on

Report to







Motivation Why is a Data Pipeline talk in this High Availability Track?

Different Types of Data Pipelines

VS

ETL

- used for : loading data related to business health into a Data Warehouse
 - user-engagement stats (e.g. social networking)
 - product success stats (e.g. e-commerce)
- audience : Business, BizOps

• downtime? : 1-3 days

Predictive

used for

- building recommendation products (e.g. social
- networking, shopping)
- updating fraud prevention endpoints (e.g. security, payments, e-commerce)
- audience : Customers

downtime? : < 1 hour</p>

Different Types of Data Pipelines



Predictive

used for :

- building recommendation
 - products (e.g. social
- networking, shopping)
- updating fraud prevention endpoints (e.g. security, payments, e-commerce)
- audience : Customers

downtime? : < 1 hour</p>







Any Take-aways?

The bugs can affect customers and a company's profits & reputation!

- Scalable
- Available
- Instrumented, Monitored, & Alert-enabled
- Quickly Recoverable

Scalable

- Build your pipelines using [infinitely] scalable components
 The scalability of your system is determined by its least-scalable component
- Available
- Instrumented, Monitored, & Alert-enabled
- Quickly Recoverable

- Scalable
 - Build your pipelines using [infinitely] scalable components
 The scalability of your system is determined by its least-scalable component
- AvailableDitto
- Instrumented, Monitored, & Alert-enabled
- Quickly Recoverable

Instrumented

Instrumentation must reveal SLA metrics at each stage of the pipeline!

What SLA metrics do we care about? Correctness & Timeliness

- Correctness
 - No Data Loss
 - No Data Corruption
 - No Data Duplication
 - A Defined Acceptable Staleness of Intermediate Data
- Timeliness
 - A late result == a useless result
 - Delayed processing of now()'s data may delay the processing of future data

Instrumented, Monitored, & Alertenabled

- Instrument
 - Instrument Correctness & Timeliness SLA metrics at each stage of the pipeline
- Monitor
 - Continuously monitor that SLA metrics fall within acceptable bounds (i.e. pre-defined SLAs)
- Alert
 - Alert when we miss SLAs

- Scalable
 - Build your pipelines using [infinitely] scalable components
 The scalability of your system is determined by its least-scalable component
- AvailableDitto
- Instrumented, Monitored, & Alert-enabled
- Quickly Recoverable

Quickly Recoverable

- Bugs happen!
- Bugs in Predictive Data Pipelines have a large blast radius
- Optimize for MTTR

Maintainability

MTTR Optimized

MTBF Optimized

More info here: http://ti.arc.nasa.gov/projects/ishem/Papers/ONeill_Maintainability.doc

Implementation Using AWS to meet Design Goals

SQS Simple Queue Service

SQS - Overview

- AWS's low-latency, highly scalable, highly available message queue
 - Infinitely Scalable Queue (though not FIFO)
 - Low End-to-end latency (generally sub-second)
 - Pull-based

How it Works!

- Producers publish messages, which can be batched, to an SQS queue
- Consumers
 - consume messages, which can be batched, from the queue
 - commit message contents to a data store
 - ACK the messages as a batch

SQS - Typical Operation Flow

Step 1: A consumer reads a message from SQS. This starts a visibility timer!

SQS - Typical Operation Flow

Step 2: Consumer persists message contents to DB

SQS - Typical Operation Flow

Step 3: Consumer ACKs message in SQS

DB

SQS 1: A consumer reads a message from

DB

Step 2: Consumer attempts persists message contents to DB

Step 3: A Visibility Timeout occurs & the message becomes visible again.

Step 5: Consumer ACKs message in SQS

SQS - Dead Letter Queue

SNS Simple Notification Service

SNS - Overview

- Highly Scalable, Highly Available, Push-based Topic Service
 - Whereas SQS ensures each message is seen by at least 1 consumer
 - SNS ensures that each message is seen by every consumer
 - Reliable Multi-Push
 - Whereas SQS is pull-based, SNS is push-based
 - There is no message retention & there is a finite retry count
 - No Reliable Message Delivery

Can we work around this limitation?

SNS + SQS Design Pattern

S3 + SNS + SQS Design Pattern

Batch Pipeline Architecture Putting the Pieces Together

Architecture

Architectural Elements

- A Schema-aware Data format for all data (Avro)
- The entire pipeline is built from Highly-Available/Highly-Scalable components
 - S3, SNS, SQS, ASG, EMR Spark (exception DB)
- The pipeline is never blocked because we use a DLQ for messages we cannot process
- We use queue-based auto-scaling to get high on-demand ingest rates
- We manage everything with Airflow
- Every stage in the pipeline is idempotent
- Every stage in the pipeline is instrumented

ASG Auto Scaling Group

ASG - Overview

What is it?

- A means to automatically scale out/in clusters to handle variable load/traffic
- A means to keep a cluster/service always up
- Fulfills AWS's pay-per-use promise!

When to use it?

 Feed-processing, web traffic load balancing, zone outage, etc...

ASG - Data Pipeline

ASG : CPU-based

CPU-based auto-scaling is good at scaling in/out to keep the average CPU constant

ASG : CPU-based

Premature Scale-in: The CPU drops to noise-levels before all messages are consumed. This causes scale in to occur while the last few messages are still being committed resulting in a long time-to-drain for the queue!

ASG - Queue-based

Scale-out: When Visible Messages > 0 (a.k.a. when queue depth > 0)

Scale-in: When Invisible Messages = 0 (a.k.a. when the last in-flight message is ACK'd)

Architecture

Reliable Hourly Job Scheduling Workflow Automation & Scheduling

Our Needs

- Historical Context
 - Our first cut at the pipeline used cron to schedule hourly runs of Spark

- Problem
 - We only knew if Spark succeeded. What if a downstream task failed?

- We needed something smarter than cron that
 - Reliably managed a graph of tasks (DAG Directed Acyclic Graph)
 - Orchestrated hourly runs of that DAG
 - Retried failed tasks
 - Tracked the performance of each run and its tasks
 - Reported on failure/success of runs

Airflow X Workflow Automation & Scheduling

Airflow - DAG Dashboard

Airflow: It's easy to manage multiple DAGs

Airflow DAGs Data Profiling - Browse -			Admin - Docs - 00:31 UTC							
DAGS Show 10 ‡ entries Search:										
	0	DAG			Schedu	ıle	Owner	Statuses		Links
0	On	db_backup_v1			04***		aflury	25	000	¢ ≉ Ji k≣ ł ≣C
0	On	emr_forwarders			08***		kmandich		000	¢ ♣ 山 木 ☰ ☰ ♡
0	On	emr_model_building		01***		kmandich	235	000	¢≉ Ji ★ ≣ ≯ ≣ C	
0	Off	ep_model_	building_v1		01***		sanand	253	000	¢ ≉ 山水三 ⊁ ≣C
0	Off	ep_reload_	data		None		sanand	2000	000	¢ ≉ 山水三 ⊁ ≣C
0	On	ep_telemet	try_v2		Chourly		sanand	6077 7	000	¢≉山★≣⊁≣©
0	Off	feeback_re	port		1 day, 0:	00:00	kmandich		000	¢≉山★≡⊁≣≎
0	On	generate_s	poofs		1 day, 0:	00:00	kmandich			¢≉山★≡⊁≣©
0	On	refresh_asr	n_data		0 10 * * *		kmandich	292	000	¢≉山★≡⊁≣≎
0	On	refresh_dns	s_cache		0 10 1,15		kmandich		000	¢≉山★≡⊁≣≎

Showing 1 to 10 of 10 entries

Next

Previous

Airflow - Authoring DAGs

Airflow: Visualizing a DAG

Airflow - Authoring DAGs

Airflow: Author DAGs in Python! No need to bundle many config files!

Airflow - Performance Insights

Airflow: Gantt chart view reveals the slowest tasks for a run!

Airflow DAGs Data Profiling - B	rowse -	Admin -	Docs -			00:1	8 UTC
DAG: ep_telemetry_v2 Graph View Tree View It Task Du	ration	★ Landing 1	Times 🖹 Gant	t 🗮 Details	∳ Code	schedule: 6	hourly
Run: 2016-02-26 23:00:00 Go							
wait_for_collector_ingest check_for_time_to_build_model_branch_condition build_sender_models_spark_job build_dom_rep_models_spark_job check_for_successful_model_building_branch_condition send_sns_notification_model_building_failed prejoin_preagg_dummy_job wait_for_previous_hour discover_ingest_enabled_orgs delete_db_data clear_spark_logs purge_DLQ aggregate_data_spark_job wait_for_new_data_in_db wait_for_empty_queue aggregate_db_message_job enqueue_alerting_jobs							
23	3:58 27. F	eb 00:02	00:04 00:06	00:08 00:10 Values	00:12 00:14	00:16 00:1 High	8 00:20

Airflow - Performance Insights

Airflow: ...And we can easily see performance trends over time

Airflow DAGs Data Profiling - Browse - Admin - Docs -	19:17 UTC							
DAG: ep_telemetry_v2 ● Graph View Tree View I Task Duration ★ Landing Times ★ Code								
0.6								
0.55								
0.5 Wednesday, Jan 20, 05:00 • aggregate_data_spark_job: 0.467662974166666663								
0.45								
0.35								
	- t.							
	V							
NI INVIAVUVUVUVUV								
0.15	hund							
9 N Y 0.1								
	Innerland							
0 11. Jan 18. Jan 25. Jan 1. Feb 8. Feb 15. Feb 22. Feb	29. Feb							
 wait_for_collector_ingest check_for_time_to_build_model_branch_condition prejoin_preagg_dummy_job wait_for_previous_hour purge_DLQ clear_spark_logs delete_db_data discover_ingest_enabled_orgs aggregate_data_spark_job wait_for_new_data_in_db wait_for_empty_queue aggregate_db_message_job get_collector_msg_counts send_email_notification_flow_successful enqueue_alerting_jobs 								
Hide all series Show all series								

Airflow - Alerting

	• • •	Slack					
	Agari - 🗘	+#ep-ops ~ A channel with info that can help you resolve VictorOps alerts & 13 () Q Search					
	• sid	Today					
	* STARRED	Airflow BOT 10:00 AM					
	# airflow	EP_STAGE - ep_telemetry_v2 SLA Miss for task send_email_notification_flow_successful on 2016-02-26T18:00:00					
	# analysis	sid 10:02 AM					
	# eng	So, we need to catch up here any reason we don't want to just mark success for the many hours it is behind?					
	# eng-ep	Airflow BOT 11:00 AM					
	# ep-ops	EP_STAGE - ep_telemetry_v2 SLA Miss for task <pre>send_email_notification_flow_successful on 2016-02-26T19:00:00</pre>					
3	# ep-real-time-alerting						
	scotfree, kevin, wforr	Airflow BOT 5:27 PM					
		ep_telemetry_v2 on etl-00.ep-old.prod.agari.com completed 2016-02-03 00:00:00 with High Discrepancies					
ġ.		February 3rd					
33		Airflow Bot 8:48 PM 🛱					
		ep_telemetry_v2 on workflow-00.ep.stage.agari.com completed 2016-02-26 03:00:00 with 1 DLQs: Sample Exception					
35							
gs F	eports						
1	stanundate	All Yours Team					

Agari Timeline | Si

Airflow - Monitoring

Airflow - Join the Community

This repository Search	Pull requests	Issues Gist	📌 +- 🕅-					
Qunwatch - 183 ★ Unstar 2,005 % Fork 378								
<> Code Issues 153 1 Pull	requests 30 🔠 Wiki 4~ Pulse	III Graphs						
Airflow is a system to programmatically author, schedule and monitor data pipelines.								
2,675 commits	پو 26 branches	S 42 releases 102 contributors						
Branch: master - New pull request	New file Upload files Find	file SSH - git@github.com:air	bnb/airflc 😭 🔮 Download ZIP					

- With >30 Companies, >100 Contributors , and >2500 Commits, Airflow is growing rapidly!
- We are looking for more contributors to help support the community!
- Disclaimer : I'm a maintainer on the project

Design Goal Scorecard Are We Meeting Our Design Goals?

- Scalable
- Available
- Instrumented, Monitored, & Alert-enabled
- Quickly Recoverable

Scalable

- Build using scalable components from AWS
 - SQS, SNS, S3, ASG, EMR Spark
- Exception = DB (WIP)

Available

- Build using available components from AWS
- Airflow for reliable job scheduling
- Instrumented, Monitored, & Alert-enabled
 Airflow
- Quickly Recoverable
 Airflow, DLQs, ASGs, Spark & DB

Questions? (@r39132)

